

In the name of Allah the
Beneficent and the most
Merciful

PRESENTATION

GROUP- C

Mr.Mumrez Khan

Mr. Liaquat Ali

Mr. Amjad Makhdoom

Mr. Javed ur Rehman

Mr. Amin Said

JUDGMENT WRITING

- Yesterday's two lectures on the language and one lecture on the substantial elements of judgment have attempted to explain that apart from the substantial elements the skill of judgment writing demands something more. This doing more dragged us to see whether to:-
- shoot the style at the cost of the substance of judgment or
- compromise the substance for the style.

Priorities: Substance & Style

- One way to determine priorities between the substance and the style is to analyze the strength and weaknesses of those lectures and their respectable speakers as follows:-
- The linguistic preacher thus demanded clarity, precision, comprehension, coherence, consistence, concise and punctuation.
- While, focus of the substance was not only on the reasons as a requirement of judgment writing, but also to produce a well-reasoned judgment, which should be far beyond biases, social influences, adaptive and cognitive.

Linguistic Guru Vs Legal Expert

- The linguistic guru was thus pressing hard for communication skills, the legal expert was however attempting to bring maturity in our legal professional skills.

Conclusion

- Though inculcating as good listeners both the values so far, yet being in the middle of the way it would be a little premature to vote for the substance or shoot the style.

STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF LECTURES

STRENGTHS

- Interactive
- Relevant and to the point topics
- Clarity

WEAKNESSES

- Non-completion of topics due to shortage of time.
- A fewer practical exercises.
- A fewer group activity

THANK YOU

